

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

rizona Cerperation Commission  DOCKETED
APR 22 2020
DOCKETED BY
DOCKET NO. S-21084A-19-0256
t, ) ) DECISION NO. 77595
) ) ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ) ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE ) PENALTIES AND CONSENT TO SAME

Respondent Marvin Robby Richards elects to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. ("Securities Act") with respect to this Order to Cease and Desist, Order for Administrative Penalties, and Consent to Same ("Order"). Respondent admits the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"); admits only for purposes of this proceeding and any other proceeding in which the Commission is a party the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order; and consents to the entry of this Order by the Commission.

I.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. At all times relevant, Marvin Robby Richards ("Richards" or "Respondent") was a resident of Arizona and was not registered with the Commission as a securities salesman or dealer.
- 2. At all times relevant, Richards was the sole member and manager of Richards Development LLC, a manager-managed limited liability company used by Richards to purchase and develop real estate.

4

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

2526

- From August 2019 to October 2019, Richards used the website Craigslist.com to advertise investment opportunities in real estate development projects that would purportedly earn investors 20% returns.
- 4. In August 2019, Richards was contacted by an undercover investigator from the Commission ("UIC") who identified herself as an interested investor and requested more information about the advertised investment opportunity.
- 5. Richards emailed a response to UIC in which he described himself as a "real estate developer/builder/contractor" and directed UIC to his website www.richardsdevelopment.com, a website used by Richards to promote his real estate projects.
- 6. The website contained a list of "current projects" comprised of three properties in Buckeye, Arizona, including photographs, descriptions, dimensions, and the cost of each property. The website did not clearly disclose that the pictures and property described therein were illustrative and did not depict or describe completed development projects.
- 7. During meetings with UIC in August and September of 2019 and through extensive email communications, Richards offered UIC the opportunity to invest in one or more of his real estate development projects and detailed a variety of potential investment proposals.
- 8. Richards initially provided UIC with a boilerplate, interest-only, note payable to invest in Richards Development LLC. As an alternative to the note payable, Richards explained that he could create a limited liability company for purposes of investing UIC's money.
- 9. The stated purpose of the proposed investment was constructing or flipping houses and Richards proposed to use UIC's investment money to fund the construction, purchase and restoration of the properties.
- 10. After meeting with UIC, Richards formed RichCousins LLC, a manager-managed Arizona limited liability company that could be used as a real estate investment vehicle. Richards would act as the managing member of RichCousins LLC and would be responsible for the

development and sale of the investment properties. Richards would then pay UIC a 50% share of the profits.

- 11. When communicating with UIC, Richards characterized investment in his real estate projects as essentially risk free, stating "if you buy it correctly, real estate investment is risk free," but also cautioned UIC "If you are going to get serious about investing in real estate, it never hurts to talk with a CPA and/or attorney and get set up right from the start."
- 12. When speaking to UIC, Richards represented that he had access to two manufactured homes that he could quickly install on investment properties. Richards did not disclose a \$223,978 debt owed to the home seller before RichCousins LLC could take possession of the manufactured homes.
- 13. Throughout their interactions, UIC told Richards that she wanted to act as a passive investor. UIC also informed Richards that, based on the opinion of her accountant, she did not qualify as an accredited or sophisticated investor for the purpose of investing in real estate development.

II.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.
- 2. Respondent Richards offered to sell securities within Arizona, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(16), 44-1801(22), and 44-1801(27).
- 3. Respondent Richards violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 by offering to sell securities that were neither registered nor exempt from registration.
- 4. Respondent Richards violated A.R.S. § 44-1842 by offering securities while neither registered as a dealer or salesman nor exempt from registration.
- 5. Respondent Richards violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by omitting material facts necessary in order to make statements made not misleading in connection with the offer to sell securities.

Decision No.	77595	
Decision No.	11333	

	6.	Respondent Richards's conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to
A.R.S.	§ 44-20	32.

7. Respondent Richards's conduct is grounds for administrative penalties under A.R.S. § 44-2036.

#### III.

## ORDER

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Respondent's consent to the entry of this Order, attached and incorporated by reference, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that Respondent and any of Respondent's agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent comply with the attached Consent to Entry of Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, that Respondent, as his sole and separate obligation, shall pay an administrative penalty to the Commission in the principal amount of \$4,000 as a result of the conduct set forth in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. Payment is due in full on the date of this Order. Payment shall be made to the "State of Arizona." Any principal amount outstanding after the date of this Order shall accrue interest as allowed by law.

For purposes of this Order, a bankruptcy filing by Respondent shall be an act of default. If Respondent does not comply with this Order, any outstanding balance may be deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that if Respondent fails to comply with this order, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings against Respondent, including application to the superior court for an order of contempt.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately. 1 2 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 3 4 5 CHAIRMAN BURNS COMMISSIONER DUNN 6 7 8 COMMISSIONER OLSON COMMISSIONER MÁRQUEZ PETERSON 9 10 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, MATTHEW J. NEUBERT, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, 11 have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the 12 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 22 day of 13 14 15 16 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 17 18 DISSENT 19 20 DISSENT 21 This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Kacie Cannon, ADA Coordinator, 22 voice phone number (602) 542-3931, e-mail kcannon@azcc.gov. 23 24 25 (MWA) 26

5

Decision No. 77595

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. Respondent admits the jurisdiction of the Commission over the subject matter of this proceeding. Respondent acknowledges that he has been fully advised of his right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and he knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. Respondent acknowledges that this Order to Cease and Desist, Order for Administrative Penalties, and Consent to Same ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission.
- Respondent knowingly and voluntarily waives any right under Article 12 of the Securities Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.
- Respondent acknowledges and agrees that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 4. Respondent has been represented by an attorney in this matter, Respondent has reviewed this Order with his attorney, Olen Lenets of Rose Law Group PC, and understands all terms that it contains.
- 5. Respondent admits only for purposes of this proceeding and any other proceeding in which the Commission is a party the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order. Respondent agrees that he shall not contest the validity of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order in any present or future proceeding in which the Commission is a party.
- 6. Respondent further agrees that he shall not deny or contest the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order in any present or future: (a) bankruptcy proceeding, or (b) non-criminal proceeding in which the Commission is a party (collectively, "proceeding(s)"). Respondent further agrees that in any such proceedings, the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

 contained in this Order may be taken as true and correct and that this Order shall collaterally estop him from re-litigating with the Commission or any other state agency, in any forum, the accuracy of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order. In the event Respondent pursues bankruptcy protection in the future, he agrees that in such bankruptcy proceeding, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(19), the following circumstances exist:

- A. The obligations incurred as a result of this Order are a result of the conduct set forth in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in the Order and are for the violation of Arizona state securities laws, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(19)(A)(i); and
- B. This Order constitutes a judgment, order, consent order, or decree entered in a state proceeding pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(19)(B)(i), a settlement agreement entered into by Respondent pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(19)(B)(ii), and a court order for damages, fine, penalty, citation, restitution payment, disgorgement payment, attorney fee, cost or other payment owed by Respondent pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(19)(B)(iii).
- 7. By consenting to the entry of this Order, Respondent agrees not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis.
- 8. While this Order settles this administrative matter between Respondent and the Commission, Respondent understands that this Order does not preclude the Commission from instituting other administrative or civil proceedings based on violations not addressed by this Order.
- 9. Respondent understands that this Order does not preclude the Commission from referring this matter to any governmental agency for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order.
- 10. Respondent understands that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to matters addressed by this Order.

03/09/2020

Decision No. 77595

22	GERNAGE VIGT FOR A MARVIN RODRY DIGINARDS DOGVETNIO S 210044 10 0256			
1	SERVICE LIST FOR: In re: MARVIN ROBBY RICHARDS, DOCKET NO. S-21084A-19-0256			
2 3	Olen Lenets			
4	Rose Law Group, P.C. 7144 E Stetson Drive, Suite 300			
5	Scottsdale AZ 85251			
6	Attorney for Respondent Marvin Richards			
7				
8				
9				
0				
1				
12				
13	A Control of Control o			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
25				
26				
.0	10 Decision No			